Brian A. Kilmer

Texas Bar No. 24012963 Email: bkilmer@oakllp.com

J. Meritt Crosby

Texas Bar No. 24050462 Email: mcrosby@oakllp.com

Sara Mya Keith

Texas Bar No. 24062938 Email: skeith@oakllp.com OKIN ADAMS & KILMER LLP 3102 Maple Avenue, Suite 240

Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: 214.800.2390 Facsimile: 888.865.2118

Proposed Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured

Creditors of Heritage Consolidated LLC, et al.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	CASE NO. 10-36484-HDH-11
HERITAGE CONSOLIDATED, LLC,	§ §	Chapter 11
et al.,	§ §	(Jointly Administered)
Debtors-In Possession	8 §	,
	§	Hearing Date: October 12, 2010 at
	§	2:30 p.m.

OBJECTION BY THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS TO DEBTORS' EXPEDITED MOTION FOR ORDER (A) APPROVING PLAN SUPPORT AGREEMENT, SALE PROCEDURES, AND BID PROTECTIONS IN CONNECTION WITH SALE OF ASSETS; (B) APPROVING FORM AND MANNER OF NOTICE OF SALE AND OF ASSUMPTION AND ASSIGNMENT OF EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES; AND (C) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

COMES NOW, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") and files its Objection to that Expedited Motion for Order (A) Approving Plan Support Agreement, Sale Procedures, and Bid Protections in Connection With Sale of Assets; (B) Approving Form and Manner of Notice of Sale and of Assumption and Assignment of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases; and (C) Granting Related Relief (the "Motion"), filed by Heritage

Consolidated, LLC ("Consolidated") and Heritage Standard Corporation ("Standard," and collectively with Consolidated, the "Debtors"), and in support thereof respectfully represents as follows:

I. JURISDICTION

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This Objection is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

II. BACKGROUND

- 2. On September 14, 2010 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors each filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").
- 3. Since the Petition Date, the Debtors have been operating their businesses and managing their property pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 4. The United States Trustee appointed the Committee to this case on September 24, 2010.
- 5. On September 17, 2010, the Debtors, CIT Capital USA Inc. ("CIT"), and certain lenders to the Debtors (collectively, the "Plan Proponents") filed: (i) that certain Disclosure Statement for the Joint Plan of Reorganization for the Debtors (the "Disclosure Statement"); and (ii) that certain Joint Plan of Reorganization for the Debtors (the "Plan").

A. Secured Debt

6. Consolidated is party to that certain Credit Agreement, dated October 30, 2008 (as amended, the "CIT Agreement"), among Consolidated, as borrower, and CIT as administrative agent and lender. The CIT Agreement is a \$30,000,000 revolving credit facility with an initial

borrowing base limit of \$18,000,000, and was intended to provide working capital for the Debtors' exploration and production operations. The aggregate principal and interest currently outstanding under the CIT Agreement is approximately \$18,500,000 (the "CIT Debt").

B. The Sale Procedures Motion

7. On September 15, 2010, the Debtors filed the Motion, pursuant to which the Debtors requested approval of: (i) that certain plan support agreement between the Debtors, CIT, Cross Canyon Energy Corp. ("Cross Canyon"), Permian Atlantis LLC ("Atlantis"), Permian Phoenix LLC ("Phoenix," and together with Atlantis, the "Permian Entities"), and Michael B. Wisenbaker ("Wisenbaker"); (ii) certain procedures (the "Sale Procedures") which will govern the auction (the "Auction") and sale (the "Sale," and the agreement underlying the Sale, the "PSA") of substantially all of the Debtors' assets (the "Assets"); (iii) the form and manner of notice of the Sale Procedures; and (iv) the form and manner of notice of the assumption and assignment of executory contracts and unexpired leases which will occur in connection with the Sale.

III. OBJECTION

- 8. The Committee does not object to a sale process for the Assets, but has serious concerns about the Sale Procedures as currently proposed in numerous key respects.
- 9. First and foremost, the Committee is very troubled by the accelerated timetable by which the Sale and Auction are to occur under the Sale Procedures. The Sale Procedures propose to conduct the Auction on November 2, 2010, less than 30 days after the Court is scheduled to rule on the Sale Procedures Motion. *See* Sale Procedures, p. 4. Such a compressed timeframe is not necessitated by any circumstances in these cases, as the Debtors are not experiencing a "cash crunch" or other emergency which would require a quick Sale. Moreover,

the Debtors have not presented any benefits which would accrue to the estates from such a rapid sale process. Indeed, the Committee strongly believes that a quick Sale is highly detrimental to the maximization of the Assets—a shortened time frame will discourage prospective buyers from bidding on the Assets by minimizing the time bidders will have to obtain financing or conduct due diligence on the Assets. The Committee's concerns about the incredibly fast sale process are further exacerbated by fact that the Motion failed to adequately disclose the relationship between the Permian Entities (the proposed stalking horse bidder for the Assets) and CIT, as the primary secured lender. CIT has an indirect ownership in the Permian Entities.

- 10. Second, the Committee is concerned with the chilling effect that certain provisions in the Sale Procedures will have on the bidding process. The Sale Procedures offer clearly superior terms to the Permian Entities relative to other prospective bidders, and in the process discourage a competitive bidding process by, among other things: (i) allowing the Permian Entities to merely assume the CIT Debt, while requiring all other prospective bidders to post full payment (plus payment of \$1,200,000.00 in break-up fees and expenses to be paid to the Permian Entities) in cash; (ii) not requiring the Permian Entities to post any earnest money prior to sale, while requiring all other prospective purchasers to post \$1,000,000.00 in earnest money; and (iii) requiring prospective bidder to provide evidence of financial wherewithal to fulfill their obligations as successful bidder, but not requiring the Permian Entities to do the same. *See* Sale Procedures, pp. 2-3.
- 11. Third, the Committee is concerned that the Sale Procedures allow CIT to effectively foreclose on its collateral very quickly without having to meet the requirements necessary to lift the automatic stay under Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code. To foreclosure on its collateral in bankruptcy, a secured creditor must normally lift the stay by showing: (i) that the secured

creditor lacks adequate protection of its interest in the collateral; or (ii) that the debtor lacks equity in the collateral, and that the collateral is not necessary to an effective reorganization. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1), (2). As CIT will essentially acquire the Assets for the amount of its debt in the event that the Permian Entities are successful as the stalking horse bidder in the Auction, CIT will have effectively foreclosed on its collateral without meeting either of these burdens.

- 12. Fourth, allowing CIT to credit bid on the Assets is inappropriate and is likely to chill bidding on the Assets. Because there has been no determination of the validity, enforceability or priority of CIT's claim—which is an especially complicated issue in these cases due to the voluminous M&M liens and the operator's lien that Standard has against Consolidated—it is simply inappropriate for CIT to be automatically authorized to credit bid as contemplated by the Sale Procedures.
- break-up fees and expenses provided for in the Sale Procedures are inappropriate. The Sale Procedures provide the Permian Entities—entities that are owned by the Debtors' secured lender, CIT—an \$800,000.00 break-up fee and \$400,000.00 for expenses. Such amounts are very high relative to the overall amount of actual cash CIT is required to pay (\$3,560,000.00) under the proposed sale, and are particularly egregious for an insider such as CIT, whose diligence costs are presumably low and would have been incurred in any event during any a foreclosure process.
- 14. Sixth, the Committee objects to the Sale Procedures Motion to the extent that the Committee is not accorded sufficient involvement in the Auction and Sale process. Indeed, the Sale Procedures: (i) fail to provide the Committee with consultation rights during the process; (ii) fail to provide the Committee with regular reports from the Debtors regarding the marketing of

the Assets, bids received, and other information pertinent to the Auction and Sale process; and (iii) do not permit the Committee (or creditors in general) to attend the Auction.

- 15. Seventh, the Committee objects to the extent that the Sale Procedures Motion provides for an inflexible allocation of the Assets at Auction, as the Sale Procedures only consider an auction of the Assets as a single unit, and do not contemplate breaking up the Assets into multiple packages at the Auction. Without question, potential purchasers should be allowed to bid only certain portions of the assets such as only Section 6 Assets or the Non-Section 6 Assets, and possibly on a well-by-well or lease/unit basis.
- 16. The Committee has discussed the above issues with the Debtors and with CIT, and the parties have been attempting to resolve the Committee's concerns. However, the Debtors and the Committee have not resolved the Committee's concerns as of the date of the filing of this Objection, and there may not be a resolution prior to the October 12, 2010 hearing on the Motion. Accordingly, the Committee objects to the Sale Procedures Motion to the extent the aforementioned issues have not been resolved prior to the hearing

WHEREFORE, the Committee respectfully requests that the Court consider the objections raised by the Committee and, in light of the foregoing, grant the Committee such relief as is just and proper.

OKIN ADAMS & KILMER LLP

By: /s/ Brian A. Kilmer

Brian A. Kilmer

Texas Bar No. 24012963 Email: bkilmer@oakllp.com

J. Meritt Crosby

Texas Bar No. 24050462

Email: mcrosby@oakllp.com

Sara Mya Keith

Texas Bar No. 24062938 Email: <u>skeith@oakllp.com</u> 3102 Maple Avenue, Suite 240

Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: 214.800.2390 Facsimile: 888.865.2118

PROPOSED COUNSEL FOR THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on	October 7, 2010	I served a copy	to all	parties of	on the	attached
service list by United States Fir	st Class Mail, posta	age prepaid.				

/s/ John M. Crosby
John M. Crosby

Heritage Consolidated, LLC Case No. 10-36484-hdh Service List

Special Procedures Staff Internal Revenue Service Mail Code 5020-DAL 1100 Commerce St., RM 9B8 Dallas, TX 75242

Texas Workforce Commission TEC Building-Bankruptcy 101 East 15th Street Austin, TX 78778

Richard H. London Vinson & Elkins 2001 Ross Avenue, Ste. 3700 Dallas, TX 75201-2975

Pathfinder Energy Services, Inc. Attn: George Moody 6213 W. Interstate 20 Midland, TX 79706-3347

SWACO Attn: Maira Sosa 8522 Andrews Hwy Odessa, TX 79765-2831

Coastal Chemical Co., LLC Attn: Carrie Durk 6133 Highway 90E Broussard, LA 70518

Arguijo Oilfield Serv. Inc. Attn: Pete Hibler 2800 W. 42nd St. Odessa, TX 79764

O-Tex Pumping, LLC Attn: Lisa Ford 7303 N. Highway 81 Duncan, OK 73533

Liberty Pump & Supply Co. Attn: Jennifer Ramirez 816 E. Hendricks Blvd. Wink, TX 79789 Heritage Standard Corporation Heritage Consolidated, LLC 2911 Turtle Creek Blvd, Ste. 850 Dallas, TX 75219

Office of the Attorney General Main Justice Building, RM 5111 10th & Constitution Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20530

Office of the United States Attorney 3rd Floor, 1100 Commerce Street Dallas, TX 75242

Harry A. Perrin Vinson & Elkins 1001 Fannin Street, Ste. 2500 Houston, TX 77002

Weatherford US LP Attn: Chrissy Sebesta 11943 FM 529 Rd. Houston, TX 77041

Aries Well Service, Inc. Attn: Glenda Saxon P.O. Box 784 Hobbs, NM 88240

Patterson Rental Tools Attn: Barbara Lawrence 1208 Airport Rd. Alice, TX 78332

Smith International, Inc. 1310 Rankin Rd. Houston, TX 77073

Frac Tech Services, Ltd. Attn: Jeff Vogt 16858 IH 20 Cisco, TX 76437

Double R Pipe & Supply, Inc. Attn: Amy Kirkpatrick County Rd. 97 Lovington, NM 88260 Office of the United States Trustee 1100 Commerce Street, RM 976 Dallas, TX 75242-1496

State Comptroller of Public Accounts Revenue Accounting Division Bankruptcy Section P.O. Box 13528 Austin, TX 78711

CIT Capital USA, Inc. Attn: David Bornstein 700 Louisiana, Ste. 5200 Houston, TX 77002

Aquila Drilling Company Attn: Warren Ayres 2525 Kell Blvd., Ste. 405 Wichita Falls, TX 76308

C.C. Forbes Company LP Attn: Franchesca Casas 4783 S. US Highway 281 Alice, TX 78332

Simons Petroleum Attn: Susan Bodger 210 Park Avenue, Ste. 1800 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

ITS Rental & Sales Attn: Chris Bowman 10708 HWY 191 Midland, TX 79707

Nave Oil & Gas, LLC Attn: Steve Nave 4008 N. Grimes #137 Hobbs, NM 88240

Well-Foam, Inc. Attn: Steward McKeehan 1330 East 8th St., Ste. 320 Odessa, TX 79761

Baker Oil Tools Attn: Christopher J. Ryan 9100 Emmott Rd. Houston, TX 77040 O-D Rental Attn: Ryan J. McNeel 8701 W. County Rd. Odessa, TX 79764

Carl Dore, Jr. Kristin S. Wallis Dore & Associates, Attorneys, P.C. 17171 Park Row, Ste. 350 Houston, TX 77084

Richard H. London Rebecca L. Petereit Vinson & Elkins LLP 2001 Ross Avenue, Ste. 3700 Dallas, TX 75201-2975

Primeshares Attn: RVS 261 Fifth Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10016

Office of the United States Trustee Attn: Erin M. Schmidt 1100 Commerce Street, RM 976 Dallas, TX 75242-1496

William Thomas McLain Reagan & McLain 6060 North Central Expwy, Ste 690 Dallas, TX 75206

J. Robert Forshey Kristin Schroeder Forshey & Prostok, LLP 777 Main Street, Ste. 1290 Fort Worth, TX 76102

George S. Henry, Esq. The Law Office of George S. Henry 4201 Spring Valley Road, Ste. 1102 Dallas, TX 75244

Michael W. Bishop, Esq. H. Joseph Acosta, Esq. Looper Reed & McGraw, P.C. 1601 Elm Street, Ste. 4600 Dallas, TX 75201 Mark A. Weisbart James S. Brouner Law Offices of Mark A. Weisbart 12770 Coit Rd., Ste. 541 Dallas, TX 75251

Elizabeth Weller Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP 2323 Bryan St., Ste. 1600 Dallas, TX 76201

Philip G. Eisenberg Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP 600 Travis St., Ste. 2800 Houston, TX 77002

Sean M. Guerrero Angela N. Staples Fletcher Law Offices 6 Desta Dr., Ste. 5900 Midland, TX 79705

John E. Leslie John Leslie PLLC 1216 Florida Drive, Ste. 140 Arlington, TX 76012

Jeffrey F. Thomason Todd Barron Thomason & Hudman P.C. 3800 E. 42nd Street, Ste. 409 Odessa, TX 79762

John E. Leslie John Leslie PLLC 1216 Florida Drive, Ste. 140 Arlington, TX 76015

Phil F. Snow Kenneth Green Snow Fogel Spence LLP 2929 Allen Parkway, Ste. 4100 Houston, TX 77019

Sean M. Guerrero Angela N. Staples Fletcher Law Offices, P.C. 6 Desta Drive, Ste. 5900 Midland, TX 79705 Edith Stuart Phillips Matthew P. Crouch The Texas Attorney General's Office Bankruptcy & Collections Div P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2548

Harry A. Perrin Vinson & Elkins, LLP 1001 Fannin St., Ste. 2500 Houston, TX 77002-6670

Gregory A. Lowry Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP 2200 Ross Avenue, Ste. 2200 Dallas, TX 75201

Thomas S. Henderson Burleson Cooke LLP 711 Louisiana, Ste. 1701 Houston, TX 77002

Ronald A. Simank Schauer & Simank, P.C. 615 North Upper Broadway St. 2000-MSC 159 Corpus Christi, TX 78477

David G. Aelvoet Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP 711 Navarro, Suite 300 San Antonio, TX 78205

Terry W. Rhoads Cotton Bledsoe Tighe & Dawson PC 500 West Illinois, Ste. 300 Midland, TX 79701

Kevin M. Maraist Anderson Lehrman Barre & Maraist LLP Gaslight Square 1001 Third Street, Ste. 1 Corpus Christi, TX 78404